BUSINESS PLAN AUDIT REPORT

CONFIDENTIAL // EVALUATION ENGINE v2.0

BUSINESS PLAN AUDIT REPORT - SubmitBusinessPlan.com

PART A: AUDIENCE-NEUTRAL DIAGNOSTIC

SECTION 1: PLAN CLASSIFICATION & DOCUMENT DIAGNOSTIC

*** Company Name: Riverside Roasters**

*** Business Type: Main Street service business (specialty coffee shop / micro-roastery)**

*** Apparent Plan Purpose: SBA loan readiness**

*** Funding Path: SBA 7(a) loan**

*** Business Stage: Pre-launch**

*** Primary Review Lens: Lender readiness**

*** Confidence Level in Classification: High**

This document is a startup business plan for Riverside Roasters, a specialty coffee shop and micro-roastery proposed for Carlisle, PA. The plan's explicit purpose is to secure a $185,000 SBA 7(a) loan to fund the majority of the $231,000 total project cost. The document is structured to provide a lender with information on the business concept, market, founder's experience, and financial projections.

Before a full underwriting review could occur, several critical supporting documents mentioned or implied in the plan would be needed. These include: a fully executed lease for 214 Millbrook Ave (the plan notes only a signed LOI); a formal, signed gift letter for the $15,000 portion of the owner's equity injection; firm contractor quotes for the $92,000 in leasehold improvements, particularly the roaster ventilation system; and signed letters of intent or draft supply agreements from the three potential wholesale customers and the primary bakery supplier. The absence of these items makes the plan's budget and revenue projections preliminary.

SECTION 2: AUDIENCE FIT ASSESSMENT

*** Most Likely Intended Audience: Lender**

*** Audience Fit: Strong**

The plan is clearly and effectively written for a lender, specifically an SBA lender. The language and structure focus on elements critical to a credit decision: the specific loan request ($185,000 SBA 7(a)), a detailed use of funds, a clear statement of owner equity injection ($46,000, or 20%), and projections for revenue and profitability. The inclusion of the founder's direct industry experience and the identification of a specific location with a letter of intent are well-aligned with lender expectations.

The plan's primary weakness in audience fit is the omission of a month-by-month cash flow projection for the first year. Lenders, particularly for startups, heavily scrutinize the initial cash burn and the adequacy of working capital during the ramp-up phase. The annual-only projections prevent this analysis. Additionally, the reliance on verbal agreements and revenue assumptions based on a different town introduces a level of risk that a lender would need to address.

PART B: AUDIENCE-SPECIFIC READINESS REVIEW

SECTION 3: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ASSESSMENT

The executive summary is clear, concise, and highly effective for its intended lender audience. It successfully communicates the core business concept (specialty coffee shop and roastery), the specific location (214 Millbrook Ave), the market gap ("no existing specialty roaster within an 18-mile radius"), and the founder's relevant experience (11 years, SCA certified).

Crucially for a lender, the summary immediately presents the complete financial picture: the $231,000 total project cost, the $185,000 SBA loan request, and the $46,000 (20%) owner cash injection. Stating the source of the injection (savings and gift) and its current status ("already on deposit") adds significant credibility. This front-loading of the deal structure is precisely what a loan officer looks for and makes the plan immediately reviewable.

SECTION 4: PROBLEM, NEED & SOLUTION CLARITY

The problem and solution are defined with excellent clarity for a local, Main Street business.

Problem / Need Clarity Score: 9/10

Justification: The plan clearly identifies a market gap in Carlisle, PA-the absence of any independent specialty coffee shop or local roaster-and quantifies the distance to the nearest alternative (18 miles).

Solution Clarity Score: 9/10

Justification: The solution-a 950-square-foot cafe with an on-site 5kg roaster, quality-focused menu, and extended hours-is a direct and logical response to the identified market need.

SECTION 5: MARKET, CUSTOMER & LOCATION ANALYSIS

The market analysis provides a solid foundation but relies on informal data, which presents a risk. The plan correctly identifies the key demand drivers for the 214 Millbrook Ave location: the Cumberland County Courthouse (450 employees) and Dickinson College (3,000 students). This demonstrates a clear understanding of the local economic geography.

The founder's informal survey is presented with appropriate caveats ("should be treated as directional rather than statistically representative"), which shows self-awareness. However, a lender may still view the lack of professional, third-party data (e.g., traffic counts, ESRI demographic reports) as a weakness, making the revenue projections harder to validate.

A significant risk identified but not quantified is the seasonality related to Dickinson College. The plan notes students are absent for "roughly 5 months of the year," but because no monthly financial projections are provided, it is impossible to assess if the business can manage the cash flow impact of this predictable revenue dip.

SECTION 6: BUSINESS MODEL & REVENUE STREAMS

The business model is traditional and proven for a coffee shop, with four distinct revenue streams: retail beverages, retail bagged coffee, wholesale accounts, and pastries. The pricing for each is specific and appears appropriate for a specialty coffee market.

The primary weakness is the lack of validation for key parts of the model. The plan projects $38,000 in Year 1 wholesale revenue based on "verbal interest" from three restaurants. A lender would view this revenue as speculative without signed letters of intent or draft contracts. Similarly, the pastry offering relies on a "verbal agreement" for consignment terms with Hearth & Grain Bakery. This introduces risk to both a revenue stream and a key product offering.

The revenue projections are driven by an average daily transaction count ramping from 145 to 210. The plan is transparent that this is based on the founder's experience at a different location in Mechanicsburg, PA. This is a logical starting point, but it is not data-driven for the specific Carlisle location, making it a key unverified assumption.

SECTION 7: SALES, MARKETING & CUSTOMER ACQUISITION

The marketing and sales strategy is practical, locally focused, and appropriate for the business's scale and budget. The plan outlines specific pre-opening tactics (social media, local press, flyering) and ongoing efforts (loyalty app, sponsorships, seasonal menus).

The allocation of the $8,000 Year 1 marketing budget ($3,000 pre-opening, $5,000 ongoing) is clear and reasonable. For a lender-focused plan, this level of detail is sufficient to demonstrate that the founder has a credible plan to attract an initial customer base. The strategy does not rely on expensive or unproven channels, which aligns well with a lender's preference for conservative, execution-focused planning.

SECTION 8: OPERATIONS & EXECUTION PLAN

The operations plan contains a critical, unmitigated risk that could jeopardize the entire project budget and timeline. The plan correctly identifies that a coffee roaster requires specialized exhaust and ventilation and budgets $6,000 for this work within the leasehold improvements. However, it explicitly states that no contractor quote has been obtained and no fire marshal inspection has been scheduled.

Roaster ventilation can be complex and costly, often requiring significant structural modification and adherence to strict fire codes. The $6,000 budget is a placeholder that may be substantially insufficient. A lender would likely halt their review at this point and require a firm, binding quote from a qualified HVAC/mechanical contractor and preliminary approval from the local code enforcement or fire marshal before proceeding. This single operational detail represents the largest execution risk in the plan.

Other operational details, such as hours and POS system selection, are well-defined. The lack of formal applications for health and food service licenses is typical at this stage but would need to be addressed on a clear timeline.

SECTION 9: COMPETITIVE LANDSCAPE & DIFFERENTIATION

The competitive analysis is realistic and well-articulated. The plan correctly identifies the direct competitors as Dunkin' and Starbucks and astutely notes their operational focus (drive-thru, grocery kiosk).

The differentiation strategy is strong and directly addresses the weaknesses of the competition. Key differentiators for a local business are highlighted:

* **Product Quality: On-site, small-batch roasting.**
* **Experience: Visible roasting process, direct trade sourcing story.**
* **Convenience/Service: Extended evening hours to serve the target college/courthouse demographics.**

This analysis demonstrates a clear understanding of how a small, independent shop can successfully compete against national chains by focusing on factors other than price.

Competitive Position: Strong

SECTION 10: FINANCIAL PROJECTIONS & ASSUMPTIONS

The financial projections provide a plausible annual overview but lack the monthly detail and assumption support required for a rigorous credit review.

*** Revenue assumptions: The Year 1 revenue of $412,000 is based on a transaction count (ramping from 145 to 210/day) and average ticket ($9.10) derived from the founder's experience in a different town. This is a major unverified assumption. A lender would likely want to see this cross-referenced with local demographic data or traffic counts.**

*** Expense assumptions: The major expense categories appear reasonable. COGS at 28% and labor (including owner's salary) at 41% are within typical industry ranges for a specialty coffee shop. The owner's draw of $42,000 is modest, which lenders often view favorably in a startup.**

*** Break-even logic: A break-even analysis is included, which is a positive. However, the plan notes it is the founder's own calculation and has not been verified by a CPA, reducing its credibility.**

*** Debt service / loan payment assumptions: The plan includes an estimated annual loan payment of $30,600 based on a 10-year term at 11%. This is a reasonable estimate for planning purposes.**

*** Owner equity or down payment: The $46,000 (20%) owner injection is clearly stated and meets the equity requirements many lenders, including the SBA, often look for.**

*** Working capital adequacy: The plan allocates $20,000 for a working capital reserve. Without monthly cash flow**

projections showing the cash burn during the first 6-12 months of ramp-up, it is impossible for a lender to determine if this amount is sufficient.

*** DSCR or repayment ability, if provided: The plan does not explicitly calculate a Debt Service Coverage Ratio (DSCR). Based on the provided figures, an *implied DSCR* can be estimated. With a projected Net Income of $25,040 and an estimated Year 1 interest payment of approximately $19,500 (based on the $185k loan at 11%), the cash flow available for debt service would be roughly $44,540. Compared to the total annual debt payment of $30,600, this yields an implied DSCR of 1.45x. This is a healthy ratio, *if the projections are achieved*.**

*** Missing lender-critical metrics: The single most critical missing item is a month-by-month cash flow projection for the first 24 months. A sensitivity analysis showing performance under less optimistic scenarios is also absent.**

SECTION 11: MANAGEMENT, TEAM & CAPABILITY

The founder's direct, hands-on industry experience is the plan's greatest strength. Maria Ostrowski's 11 years in specialty coffee, including management at a regional chain and apprenticeship as a roaster, directly align with the core operational requirements of the business. Her SCA certifications provide third-party validation of her technical skills.

However, the plan also reveals significant gaps in business management experience. The founder has no prior experience with full P&L responsibility, business ownership, or formal financial management. The plan to "learn as she goes" with QuickBooks, supported by an informal, unpaid review from her sister, presents a material risk to a lender. Lenders expect to see a plan for professional financial oversight, such as a formal engagement with a CPA or professional bookkeeper, not an informal family arrangement. The lack of an identified Assistant Manager also leaves a key operational role unfilled.

SECTION 12: FUNDING REQUEST & USE OF FUNDS

This section is exceptionally well-prepared and lender-focused. The request is specific, the capital structure is clear, and the use of funds is itemized in detail.

The plan clearly outlines the total project cost ($231,000) and the proposed financing: 80% from an SBA loan ($185,000) and 20% from owner equity ($46,000). This 80/20 structure is common and appropriate for an SBA loan request. The breakdown of the Use of Funds into categories like leasehold improvements, equipment, inventory, and working capital is precise and logical.

The only missing elements are the supporting documents a lender would require to verify these numbers: a formal gift letter for the $15,000, and contractor/vendor quotes for the $92,000 in improvements and $58,000 in equipment.

SECTION 13: CRITICAL RED FLAGS

1. Unquoted Roaster Ventilation Cost: The plan budgets $6,000 for a critical, code-sensitive roaster ventilation system without a contractor quote. This cost is a significant unknown and could severely impact the project budget, potentially making the entire financial plan unworkable.

2. Absence of Monthly Cash Flow Projections: Without a monthly cash flow forecast, the adequacy of the $20,000 working capital reserve cannot be assessed. This is a fundamental omission for a startup loan application, as it hides the potential cash crunch during the initial months.

3. Revenue Projections Not Based on Local Data: The core revenue driver (daily transaction volume) is based on the founder's experience in a different town. This makes the entire $412,000 revenue projection an unverified assumption rather than a data-supported forecast.

4. Reliance on Verbal Agreements: Key revenue streams (wholesale accounts) and supply chain components (bakery supplier) are based on verbal discussions only, making them highly speculative from a lender's perspective.

5. Lack of Professional Financial Oversight: The plan for the founder to "learn as she goes" with accounting, relying on informal help from a relative, signals a weakness in financial management controls, a key concern for any lender.

SECTION 14: HIGHEST-PRIORITY ACTION ITEMS

1. Obtain a Firm Quote for Roaster Ventilation: Immediately engage a licensed mechanical contractor to provide a detailed, binding quote for the installation of the Diedrich IR-5 roaster's ventilation system, including any required permits and fire suppression integration. This is the single biggest risk to the project budget.

2. Develop 24-Month Cash Flow Projections: Create a detailed, month-by-month cash flow projection for the first two years of operation. This must account for pre-opening expenses, the revenue ramp-up, and the seasonal impact of the college's schedule.

3. Secure Written Agreements: Obtain signed letters of intent or draft wholesale supply agreements from the three interested restaurants and a formal consignment agreement from Hearth & Grain Bakery to substantiate projected revenue and COGS.

4. Formalize Financial Management: Formally engage a CPA or professional bookkeeping service to review the financial model and establish an ongoing process for financial reporting. Replace the informal family arrangement with a professional one.

5. Obtain a Formal Gift Letter: Secure a signed and dated gift letter for the $15,000 family contribution that explicitly states the funds are a gift and not a loan, as this is a standard requirement for lender underwriting.

SECTION 15: READINESS SCORECARD

This scorecard is weighted for a lender-readiness review. The heaviest weight is placed on financial rigor, repayment ability, assumption support, and the owner's capitalization, as these are central to a credit decision for a startup.

*** Problem / Need Clarity: 9/10**

*** Market / Customer Evidence: 6/10**

*** Business Model: 7/10**

*** Sales / Marketing Strategy: 8/10**

*** Competitive Position: 9/10**

*** Financial Rigor: 3/10**

*** Team / Execution Capability: 7/10**

*** Funding Request Clarity: 9/10**

*** Repayment Ability: 6/10 (Plausible if projections are met, but projections are weak)**

*** Owner Equity / Capitalization: 9/10**

*** Assumption Support: 4/10**

COMPOSITE SCORE: 6.6 / 10

READINESS TIER: Nearly Ready

BEST-FIT AUDIENCE: Lender

SECTION 16: FINAL VERDICT

The most compelling aspect of this business plan is the founder herself. Maria Ostrowski's deep, relevant industry experience in both coffee service management and technical roasting provides a strong foundation of credibility. This is paired with a clear, well-structured funding request that demonstrates a solid understanding of how to capitalize a small business for a lender. The business concept is sound, the location appears well-chosen, and the competitive differentiation is clear and logical.

However, the plan is not yet ready to be submitted to a lender. The document's primary failure is in mitigating key risks and substantiating its own financial claims. The single most important improvement required is to resolve the operational and financial uncertainty surrounding the buildout and initial operations. This means obtaining a firm contractor quote for the roaster ventilation-the project's biggest unknown-and developing the detailed monthly cash flow projections necessary to prove the business can survive its startup phase.

This plan is appropriately tailored for a lender and would be poorly suited for an equity investor, as it lacks any discussion of scale, defensibility, or exit strategy. With the recommended revisions, particularly the addition of hard quotes and detailed monthly financials, this could become a highly fundable, lender-ready proposal.

END OF AUDIT REPORT

Generated by the SubmitBusinessPlan.com Automated Audit Engine